UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/791,190 | 03/02/2004 | Frank L. Hall | 4718.3US (00-0316.03/US) | 1971 | |
| 24247 7590 · 05/17/2007 TRASK BRITT | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 2550 | | | HEINRICH, S | HEINRICH, SAMUEL M | |
| SALT LAKE C | CITY, UT 84110 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1725 | | |
| | | | · | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/17/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | 10/791,190 | HALL, FRANK L. | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | • | Samuel M. Heinrich | 1725 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vero to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | |
| 5) 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ | Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2. | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7 sheets</u> . | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | Pate | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/791,190

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-12 describe using a laser for one process and using a laser for another process. Is it the same laser? A clear description is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 5,352,107 to Oh and in view of USPN 6,221,690 to Taniguchi et al and in view of USPN 4,586,822 to Tanimoto. AAPA describes (e.g., Specification pages 2-6) well known resist removal processes which do not damage the substrate, and this inherently includes some inspection for possible damage. Oh describes the old and well known use of an automold system for molding semiconductor packages. Taniguchi et al describe (Abstract) "removing the unnecessary solder resist under irradiation of a laser" in the production of a

Art Unit: 1725

semiconductor package. Tanimoto describe use of a laser for detecting surface irregularities. Taniguchi et al describe (column 6, last paragraph) removal of resist and subsequently "encapsulating resin 9 was injected into the mold". An automold apparatus including a laser capable of resist removal and inspection would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the use of the laser in the molding process is well known and the close association of tooling provides rapid production. Nd:Yag and excimer lasers are disclosed by Taniguchi et al. Note, the intended use of the apparatus does not impart patentability to the apparatus claims.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of JP404113663A in view of USPN 5,352,107 to Oh and in view of USPN 4,586,822 to Tanimoto. AAPA describes (e.g., Specification pages 2-6) well known resist removal processes which do not damage the substrate, and this inherently includes some inspection for possible damage.

JP404113663A describes well known combination of a laser and a transfer molding machine. Oh describes well known automolding. Tanimoto describe use of a laser for detecting surface irregularities. The use of the laser in an automold system would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the automold system provides closely associated tooling for rapid production. Nd:Yag lasers are well known as disclosed in AAPA. Note, the intended use of the apparatus does not impart patentability to the apparatus claims.

Art Unit: 1725

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725